

#1

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, November 28, 2018 9:57:42 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, November 28, 2018 12:07:49 PM  
**Time Spent:** 02:10:07  
**IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steypning**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Agree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Disagree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Agree**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree** ,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

a) It was the wish of the late Alistair Robertson-Aikman that the site should not be developed so local residents could use it as a Green Space - he did not make his wishes clear.  
 b) There will not be a proper open space between Bramber and Steypning boundary.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Agree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Disagree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Gatewick could be extended along the Bypass (for Steyning)

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree**  
Protection of Views **Agree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Strongly agree**  
Renewable Energy **Strongly agree**

---

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Strongly agree**  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users **Strongly agree**  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Agree**  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running **Agree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Disagree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Disagree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Disagree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Agree**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Disagree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Agree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Through advertising through community publications(like Your Steyning) in other counties.

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Clays Field greatly enhances both Steyning and Bramber. It would be a great shame to lose any part of Clays Field

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Respondent skipped this question**

---

## #2

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, November 28, 2018 3:08:22 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:20:38 PM  
**Time Spent:** 01:12:16  
**IP Address:** 86.182.16.133

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Disagree**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Disagree,**

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

Agree with much of the assessment but disagree with the following aspects; 1. The maximum size of the potential development area must be stated as 1.8 hectares to avoid later enlargement. 2. Potential number of dwellings not decided, therefore impact not known so should be red/amber. 3. Surrounding land uses- as stated development will impact views and so should be red in line with Character of Development policy. 4. General character - inter-settlement gap will be significantly reduced and should be red. 5. The 6 hectares of adjacent open space must have legal protection, with ownership and maintenance agreed. Therefore, orange at best.

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Disagree,**  
If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
Impacts Steyning more than Bramber.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

I do not think the site is suitable

**Strongly agree**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
As Steyning residents we prefer not to comment

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

**Respondent skipped this question**

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure  
Protection of Views  
Minimising Flood Risk  
Renewable Energy

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Agree**

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
--	-----------------------

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
---	-----------------------

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	<b>Agree</b>
--	--------------

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Respondent skipped this question**

---



## #3

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:49:24 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:52:14 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:50  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

## Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyning**

## Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree**,  
 If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 Access from Castle Lane is extremely difficult, as the lane is very narrow. There is insufficient infrastructure to deal with extra houses.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Maudlin Farm Field

Strongly disagree

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Respondent skipped this question

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

input by SLG

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Respondent skipped this question**

---

# #4

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:52:22 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 3:58:37 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:15  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

## Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyning**

## Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Sites **Strongly disagree**

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree**,  
 If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 In the housing assessment no real consideration of how development here would benefit Bramber apart from giving them the "no. of houses". Practically all the effect would be felt by Steyning and its residents and only a few in Bramber. Access, schooling, health, shops etc., are all in Steyning thereby swelling Steyning numbers. Whilst not impacting Bramber, Steyning are developing their own NP including future housing within severe constraints. Extra housing for Bramber would impact on Steyning to its detriment.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree**,  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 See previous but also, access is proposed down a very narrow, steep, almost unmade-up road which is in Steyning.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
16 to 30 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
31 to 50 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	<b>Agree</b>
Maudlin Farm Field	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

All of Clays Field

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Respondent skipped this question

---

---

### Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

input by SLG

---

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

# #5

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:20:52 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:28:48 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:55  
**IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steypning**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Sites **Strongly disagree**

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree**,  
If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
Access via Castle Lane is ridiculous. Very narrow. Access should be from new opening from bypass as was done recently further along bypass. Clays Field was supposed to have been covenanted to the local area.

---

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**  
If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
Access very narrow. Extra traffic down Goring Road.

---

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

---



## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Disagree,**

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
Encroaching on SDNP area and more traffic down very narrow Annington road.

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Disagree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

---

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure

**Agree**

Protection of Views

**Agree**

Minimising Flood Risk

**Agree**

Renewable Energy

**Agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network

**Agree**

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

**Agree**

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

**Agree**

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

**Agree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Disagree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

# #6

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:48:10 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:56:22 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:12  
**IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steypning**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Disagree,**  
If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
The traffic problem is a pressing concern. Traffic already goes far too fast down Goring Road. Additional cars and difficult access could be disastrous. The site for development looks more than the 25% on the plan shown. Safer access to the field and children's playground should be included. e.g. a crossing to the field on Clays Hill' measures to slow traffic on Goring Road.

---

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree,**  
If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
If the site is kept to 25% and the rest is public land.

---

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings	<b>Agree</b>
16 to 30 dwellings	<b>Disagree</b>
31 to 50 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings	<b>Agree</b>
15 to 20 dwellings	<b>Disagree</b>

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Maudlin Farm Field	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Protection of Views	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Minimising Flood Risk	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Renewable Energy	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

**Strongly agree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

**Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

**Strongly agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

**Agree**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Strongly agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Agree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Reduce cost of entry to StMary's. May get more visitors.

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

OPen a shop

---

---

### Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Strongly agree**

---

#7

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 4:07:39 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 5:58:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 01:50:38  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Sites	<b>Strongly agree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Strongly disagree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 Pollution; Clays Hill very narrow, limited pedestrian areas (safety issues). Goring Road is used as a cut through to bridge over Steyping Bypass. Use of Clays Hill will significantly increase traffic flow; Castle Lane is very narrow for access

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Respondent skipped this question**



## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

---

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

input by SLG

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Respondent skipped this question**

---

#8

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 6:37:29 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 6:58:54 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:24  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steypning**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly agree,**  
If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
The two maps show two different size of area for housing development and an agreed boundary between proposed housing and green space should be reached.

---

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly agree,**  
If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
Although it might be refused by WSCC and HDC there could be traffic lights on to the Steypning by-pass allowing cars from Clay's Field and King's Stone Avenue, Roman Road etc., access to main road without passing through built up areas. Similar requirement for Steypning at Horsham Road and Abbey Road.

---

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

16 to 30 dwellings

**Strongly agree**

---

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Disagree,**

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

1. Site is not on edge of SDNP but actually within it. 2. The SDNP have stated in their SHELA 2016 evidence why it should not be developed based on the Sandford principles. 3. Should be coloured red. 4. Distance should not be red, when Roman Road, King's Stone Avenue are further HDC criteria incorrect basis

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Disagree,**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

The site is within the SDNP and therefore should not be developed for housing because every parish bordering the SDNP will make a case for housing (Brighton, Hove, Shoreham, Lancing, Worthing, etc., etc.,)

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

**Strongly disagree**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)

**Strongly agree**

Maudlin Farm Field

**Strongly agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Maudlin Field - only on condition owner agrees, if not no

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure	<b>Agree</b>
Protection of Views	<b>Agree</b>
Minimising Flood Risk	<b>Agree</b>
Renewable Energy	<b>Agree</b>

---

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

<b>Q18</b> Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
---	-----------------------

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Disagree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Disagree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Toilet upgrade

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Perhaps an enhanced Bramber Parish website or separate website in combination with Steyning and Upper Beeding to sell/market wonderful tourism potential

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

If you have new housing there should be increased employment in parish or adjacent parishes to stop them becoming 'dormitory' towns/parishes. The Upper Beeding Cement Works offers huge potential for employment and housing that SDNP ignores.

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Cycle restrictions should be removed from river bank pathway.

The Bramber Neighbourhood Plan has been very open and transparent and has been efficiently implemented. There will always be objections and different points of view. Need to have more detailed housing number and type before Draft Plan because developers try to avoid that and change specifications at a later date.

I am not a Bramber resident and the final decisions should be left up to Bramber residents.

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Strongly agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**Agree**

---

#9

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 6:59:12 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:05:29 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:16  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Sites	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Strongly disagree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree** ,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 Living in Goring Road, we are fully aware that the increase in traffic will be enormous. Speeding will be more common, parking will become an issue as well as safety for children. After the flats were built in Goring Road and College Hill the parking and traffic MASSIVELY increased !!! This will be much worse. Especially as almost everyone aged 17+ own a car !

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree** ,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 These towns and villages are simply too small to take on any more infrastructure !



## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
The least number of houses the better

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
NO - max of 5 houses

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Strongly disagree**  
**Strongly disagree**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure  
Protection of Views  
Minimising Flood Risk  
Renewable Energy

**Strongly disagree**  
**Strongly disagree**  
**Strongly disagree**  
**Strongly disagree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Disagree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Disagree**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Disagree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Strongly disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Just nice shops in Steyning High Street

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Cheaper rates/rent !

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

(under Transport and Movement) - "Leave us alone !! Change nothing.

[input by SLG]

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

# #10

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:06:06 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:12:25 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:19  
**IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyning**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Sites **Strongly disagree**

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree** ,  
 If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 Strongly disagree with any development on Clays Field, but particularly at the Steyning end

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree** ,  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 A local green space , long used for recreation. Marks a highly attractive entry to the villages. A buffer between Steyning and Bramber

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Respondent skipped this question**

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Disagree,**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Within the SDNP: no justification for breaking the landscape guidelines or Bramber is clearly not a 'non-thriving' village.

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure  
Protection of Views  
Minimising Flood Risk  
Renewable Energy

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

**Respondent skipped this question**

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Respondent skipped this question

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Respondent skipped this question

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Respondent skipped this question

# #11

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:13:03 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:17:50 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:46  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Disagree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree** ,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 Plans talks about release of 6 hectares for leisure use. But it is all currently in leisure use to this is a retrograde step

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree** ,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 Area has been used for more than 30 years as recreational space and is highly valued by residents. Also access as planned is unsuitable to the scale of development

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Strongly disagree**  
**Agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

All Clay's Field is now green space and should remain so

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>

---



**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Disagree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

[input by SLG]

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

## #12

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:18:09 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:21:14 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:04  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steypning**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Disagree,**  
 If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 Problems with access, impact of traffic, detrimental to residents of Goring Road and Castle Lane

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree**

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings **Agree**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Disagree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree**  
Protection of Views **Agree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Agree**  
Renewable Energy **Agree**

---

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Agree**  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users **Agree**  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Agree**  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running **Agree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Disagree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Disagree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Agree**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Agree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

[input by SLG]

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

# #13

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:13:42 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:22:43 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:00  
**IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:**  
 We think it is an unsuitable site because access to it would be a nightmare while building work was going on and afterwards, too many cars for a small area. There would no longer be that beautiful, well used green space between Bramber and Steyping. If another green space is built on it is so bad for the environment. There isn't the infrastructure in place for more housing ie doctors etc.. It will affect the views of the residents of Goring Road and Castle Lane.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree**

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Disagree,**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

It is in the SDNP and the loss of trees and green space would be bad for the environment particularly for wildlife.

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Strongly disagree**

**Strongly disagree**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure  
Protection of Views  
Minimising Flood Risk  
Renewable Energy

**Agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running

**Agree**

**Agree**

**Agree**

**Agree**



**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

**Agree**

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?

**Agree**

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?

**Agree**

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

We appreciate all the effort that went into planning and delivering the public event which was very informative

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**Agree**

---

#14

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:21:33 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:24:31 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:58  
**IP Address:** 90.240.248.65

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steypning**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Strongly disagree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Agree**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 Access, extension of Steypning, Flood plain

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Strongly disagree**  
**Disagree**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Minimising Flood Risk

**Strongly agree**

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running

**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve  
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)  
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles  
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities

**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly disagree**

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Strongly agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Strongly agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

[input by SLG]

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Strongly disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

# #15

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:22:51 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 02, 2018 7:31:05 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:13  
**IP Address:** 86.149.39.21

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Agree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Agree,**  
 If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 As a resident of Goring Road I want to know the potential worsening of a current traffic problem Vehicles frequently break the speed limit. Traffic calming measures/ speed alerts/road bumps are badly needed

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree,**  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 Only if site is 25% and the rest is designated as a public area with protection for flora and fauna.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings **Agree**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Agree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Strongly agree**  
Protection of Views **Strongly agree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Strongly agree**  
Renewable Energy **Strongly agree**

---

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Strongly agree**  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users **Strongly agree**  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Strongly agree**  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running **Strongly agree**

---



**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
-------------------------------------	-----------------------

---

<b>Q18</b> Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
---	-----------------------

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

<b>Q19</b> Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	<b>Agree</b>
--	--------------

---

<b>Q20</b> Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	<b>Agree</b>
---	--------------

---

<b>Q21</b> Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	<b>Respondent skipped this question</b>
--	---

---

<b>Q22</b> Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	<b>Respondent skipped this question</b>
---	---

---

<b>Q23</b> Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	<b>Agree</b>
--	--------------

---

<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	<b>Respondent skipped this question</b>
--	---

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

gigs for local musicians in pubs.

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Open a shop

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Is the proposed Clays Field area only 25% ? It looks more like a third of the field.

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

## #16

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 5:05:09 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 5:16:24 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:14  
**IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Agree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Disagree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Agree**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree** ,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

a) it was the wish of the late Alistair Robertson-Aikman that the site should not be developed so local residents could use it as a green space - he did not make his wishes clear for legal purposes b) there will not be a proper open space boundary between Bramber & Steyping

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Agree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Disagree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Gatewick could be extended along the bypass (for Steyning)

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree**  
Protection of Views **Agree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Strongly agree**  
Renewable Energy **Strongly agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Strongly agree**  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users **Strongly agree**  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Agree**  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running **Agree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Disagree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Disagree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Disagree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Disagree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Through advertising through community publications (like Your Steyning) in other counties

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Clay's Field 'lung' greatly enhances both Steyning and Bramber. It would be a great shame to lose any part of Clays Field,

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

#17

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 6:15:03 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 6:41:18 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:26:15  
**IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:**  
The Castle Lane access will be dangerous (narrow, staggered junction with other section of road - which is busy). Have you considered taking some of the garden of the big house and making an access there? It could be 2 lanes and is flat access. Castle Lane needs to have a footpath as you have highlighted (strongly agree). There is not enough room at the Goring Road access point for 2 lanes and a footpath, also the hill will make exiting that road more difficult. If the proposal goes ahead and green space given to the parish, does the parish have the budget to upkeep, insure etc this area? Why is proposed childs playground so close to the road? Should be as far away as possible due to fumes, particulates etc.. Just a thought - could have a roundabout on Clays Hill - would also slow traffic down in that area and enter at Clays Hill.

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Strongly disagree**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

Green divider between Bramber and Steyning. Leisure space for people to walk in (has footpath). Access proposed will cause problems in Goring Road. I AM ONLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE ROAD LAYOUT WHICH I THINK NEEDS MORE THOUGHT. (Castle Lane was an ancient drove road which does not adapt easily,

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Agree**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

National park, Biodiversity, Beauty.

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

**Respondent skipped this question**

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Strongly agree**

**Strongly agree**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

**Respondent skipped this question**



Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>
--	--------------

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Strongly agree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Strongly disagree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Strongly disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

places to stay, walking maps and guides

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Transport: I am in favour of a protected crossing at the A283 Castle Lane crossing. I think this is a brilliant idea and will make crossing the road safer for everyone. This will encourage walkers from Steyning, not drivers and will help with your parking problem also.

Re: Rat Running - if you reduce parking in The Street it will encourage rat running! because flow will be better. The cars act as moveable pinch points!

Please consider the infrastructure such as the Doctors which hasn't been considered at all. If you and Steyning and Upper Beeding all add houses the pressure on the system, which can hardly cope with the current elderly (and increasing work load). It is not fair to add this pressure both to the practitioners and nurses + staff & to the current population. It is dangerous to everyone. They need to be consulted.

PS: also schools (primary in particular, but also secondary).

Thank you for putting on such an excellent event - really detailed and informative.

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Strongly agree**

---

#18

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 6:46:45 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 6:54:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:00  
**IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steypning**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Agree**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 I think Clays Field should be preserved as an open space and sites found elsewhere in the parish.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Agree**  
**Agree**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure  
Protection of Views  
Minimising Flood Risk  
Renewable Energy

**Agree**  
**Agree**  
**Agree**  
**Agree**

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running

**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve  
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)  
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles  
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities

**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**  
**Strongly agree**

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Strongly agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Strongly agree,**  
Can you suggest any heritage buildings/structures that are not already protected and should be?:  
No

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

No

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Strongly agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

I've read about it online but I'm afraid I wasn't well enough to attend the presentation. The friend I was intending to go with brought me the form because I am so concerned about Clay's Field being preserved.

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Did not attend**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**I did not attend**

---

# #19

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 6:55:19 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 7:07:13 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:53  
**IP Address:** 92.26.16.161

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Agree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Disagree,**  
 If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 Clays Field is a green lung, & buffer against traffic noise and pollution. The proposed exit to Goring Road, steepness, narrowness will pose problems, especially in wet and icy conditions, & at Peak Times. Creates extra traffic at peak times - 40+ extra cars. Once there is development on Clays Field, another will follow precedent set.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 As previous. Hold off until forced into agreement.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Strongly agree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Strongly agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Not lived here long enough to know.

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

No

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree**  
Protection of Views **Agree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Agree**  
Renewable Energy **Agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Agree**  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users **Agree**  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Agree**  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running **Agree**

---



**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Disagree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Disagree**

---

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Agree**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

Parish seems good at this.

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Disagree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Agree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

- 1) B&Bs in side roads eg like Downs View - discrete + easy access better than Holiday Inn style for village image.
  - 2) Holiday cottages on outskirts?
- 

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Avoid raising rates which put small enterprises out of business.

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**Strongly agree**

---

#20

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 10:01:16 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 10:21:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:19:50  
**IP Address:** 51.9.236.123

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Disagree,**

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

Clays Field provides a natural green space between Bramber and Steyping. The field was purchased in the early 1980's by Cameron Aikman's father to avoid it being developed and to provide a green recreational space for local people and visitors to the area. For these two reasons I believe that Bramber Parish Council should try to preserve Clays Field and seek alternative plots, possibly brown sites, for any necessary new houses.

---

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

See above. If insufficient building sites can be found then I could see an argument for a SMALL number of houses to be built on Clays Field.

---

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings **Agree**

---

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Strongly agree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Strongly agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Strongly agree**  
Protection of Views **Strongly agree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Strongly agree**  
Renewable Energy **Strongly agree**

---

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	<b>Agree</b>
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Agree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Strongly agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Agree**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?

"Drop in" cafe/ day centre for the elderly. A place to provide respite for carers.

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Agree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Strongly agree**

---

#21

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 03, 2018 10:25:53 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 03, 2018 11:07:09 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:41:15  
**IP Address:** 51.9.236.123

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree**,  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 This is an area of outstanding natural beauty, an area used for recreation by many members of the public, it was bought and maintained by the previous owner for the benefit of local people and to prevent it from being built on.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

I do not think the site is suitable **Strongly agree**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

Respondent skipped this question

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Respondent skipped this question

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Strongly agree

---

### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?

Respondent skipped this question

---



Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

#22

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 7:50:36 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 8:08:10 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:34  
**IP Address:** 86.184.83.18

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Disagree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Disagree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Agree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Agree**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 Too much of a negative visual impact on residents in surrounding area. Too much of an increase in traffic with no planned improvement to current road system.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

I do not think the site is suitable **Strongly agree**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

15 to 20 dwellings

**Strongly agree**

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

**Respondent skipped this question**

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network

**Agree**

Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users

**Agree**

Retain all footpaths and bridleways

**Agree**

Support schemes to minimise rat-running

**Agree**

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve

**Strongly agree**

Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)

**Strongly agree**

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles

**Agree**

Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities

**Agree**

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Strongly agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Agree**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

**Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**Agree**

---

#23

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 8:40:38 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 8:45:59 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:21  
**IP Address:** 94.9.140.9

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
I think Alistair Dukman's wishes (in his will) should be respected - i.e. there should be no housing at all on Clay's Field.**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Strongly disagree**,  
If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
See above

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
OK**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure  
Protection of Views  
Minimising Flood Risk  
Renewable Energy

Strongly agree  
Strongly agree  
Strongly agree  
Strongly agree

---

Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

---

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Input by Rachael

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Strongly agree**

---



#24

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 8:58:16 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 9:10:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:29  
**IP Address:** 94.9.140.9

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Disagree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Disagree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree** ,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:

1) The site forms part of the field developed and maintained for over 50 years by the owner for benefit of local residents. Although now fallen into poor condition still heavily used. 2) Access to and from Goring Road very poor. Visibility exiting Castle Lane very difficult Visibility entering Castle Lane from easterly direction very poor resulting in vehicles almost coming to a stop. If pavements are to be created this will further reduce width of Castle Lane at junction.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree**,

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:

May be suitable for a very small number of new properties but access would prevent anything else.

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

15 to 20 dwellings **Agree**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Agree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree**  
Protection of Views **Disagree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Agree**  
Renewable Energy **Agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Disagree**  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Agree**  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running **Strongly agree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Agree**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Disagree**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far? a, Clay;s Filed (southern section) - "Yes but all of Clays Field"

Input by Rachael

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Strongly agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

#25

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 9:20:32 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 9:33:25 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:52  
**IP Address:** 94.9.140.9

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Disagree**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Agree,**  
If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
Access to this site raises concerns despite the suggestion. If building takes place on this field it needs to be kept minimal and not encroach into the field, i.e. one house deep down Castle Lane side only.

---

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**  
If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
This is encroachment on open space. Only when all other options and in particular brown field site options have been used should this be considered.

---

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings	<b>Agree</b>
16 to 30 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
31 to 50 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

---

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close?

**Agree,**  
If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
I am in agreement with this plan

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber?

**Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings	<b>Agree</b>
-------------------	--------------

---

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)	<b>Agree</b>
Maudlin Farm Field	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure	<b>Agree</b>
Protection of Views	<b>Agree</b>
Minimising Flood Risk	<b>Agree</b>
Renewable Energy	<b>Agree</b>

---

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network	<b>Agree</b>
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Retain all footpaths and bridleways	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support schemes to minimise rat-running	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Strongly agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Strongly agree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Agree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Agree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Strongly agree**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Agree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Cycle hire adjoining the Downs Link or in nearby area

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Whilst I fully understand the need for further housing I do feel brownfield sites should be considered first before encroachment into green spaces of any kind. The cement works will have to be sorted at some point in the future. The longer it is left the more expensive this will be. This would provide a significant area for housing esp on the river side of the A283 and on the fore front of the eastern side of this road. It could be used to create a small village. There is already a tunnel in existence and could be creatively developed and would rid the area of the current eye sore it is. Please, please consider this first.

Input by Rachael

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Strongly agree**

---



# #26

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 9:33:34 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 9:38:47 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:13  
**IP Address:** 94.9.140.9

## Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyning**

## Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Disagree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Disagree,**  
 If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 Suggest you find an alternative to Clays Hill

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 Natural break between Bramber and Steyning - green open space needs to be retained

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings	<b>Disagree</b>
16 to 30 dwellings	<b>Disagree</b>
31 to 50 dwellings	<b>Disagree</b>

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Disagree**  
Maudlin Farm Field **Agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree**  
Protection of Views **Agree**  
Minimising Flood Risk **Agree**  
Renewable Energy **Agree**

---

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Agree**  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users **Agree**  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Agree**  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running **Agree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Agree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Agree</b>
--	--------------

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Agree</b>
-------------------------------------	--------------

---

<b>Q18</b> Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users?	<b>Respondent skipped this question</b>
---	---

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

<b>Q19</b> Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community?	<b>Agree</b>
--	--------------

---

<b>Q20</b> Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish?	<b>Agree</b>
---	--------------

---

<b>Q21</b> Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected?	<b>Disagree</b>
--	-----------------

---

<b>Q22</b> Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish?	<b>Respondent skipped this question</b>
---	---

---

<b>Q23</b> Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile?	<b>Disagree</b>
--	-----------------

---

<b>Q24</b> Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities?	<b>Disagree</b>
--	-----------------

---

Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism?

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business?

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular

Agree

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

Agree

---

#27

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 9:53:54 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 04, 2018 10:00:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:51  
**IP Address:** 94.9.140.9

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Design of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Energy Efficiency and Design	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Strongly agree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree**

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Disagree,**  
 If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 Serious consequences re access - v. dangerous for pedestrians incl. school children using Castle Lane.

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings

**Strongly agree**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section)  
Maudlin Farm Field

**Agree**  
**Agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure  
Protection of Views  
Minimising Flood Risk  
Renewable Energy

**Agree**  
**Agree**  
**Agree**  
**Agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network  
Making routes safer and more accessible for non-motorised users  
Retain all footpaths and bridleways  
Support schemes to minimise rat-running

**Agree**  
**Strongly disagree**  
**Strongly disagree**  
**Strongly disagree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Maximise the number of parking spaces close to and in sight of the dwellings they serve	<b>Disagree</b>
Avoid provision of parking courts (no more than 5 properties per parking area)	<b>Agree</b>
Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles	<b>Agree</b>
Contribute financially towards the provision of dedicated cycle parking at public facilities	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies?

No reduction in public off-road spaces	<b>Disagree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces in the residential areas	<b>Disagree</b>
Support for additional off-road spaces to access countryside areas	<b>Agree</b>

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at:

Bostal Road (near South Downs Way)?	<b>Disagree</b>
A283 roundabout (The Street and Monarch's Way)?	<b>Disagree</b>

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Strongly agree**

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Disagree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Disagree**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Input by Rachael

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Agree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---



#28

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, November 29, 2018 5:18:24 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 05, 2018 2:39:05 PM  
**Time Spent:** Over a day  
**IP Address:** 86.182.16.178

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyning**

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far?

Character of Development	<b>Agree</b>
Sites	<b>Disagree</b>

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Agree**

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Strongly disagree** ,

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
 No details are given about the number of houses. Any environmental effects will be on Steyning rather than Bramber residents. The estimate that the plan comprises 25% of the area does not look accurate. Certainly visually it seems a larger percentage than that.

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree,**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
 But in a much more limited way than proposed

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer:

5 to 15 dwellings	<b>Agree</b>
16 to 30 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>
31 to 50 dwellings	<b>Strongly disagree</b>

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? **Agree**

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Agree**

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer

5 to 10 dwellings **Agree**

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far?

Clays Field (southern section) **Agree**

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far?

Green Infrastructure **Agree**

Protection of Views **Agree**

Minimising Flood Risk **Agree**

Renewable Energy **Agree**

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies?

New development to be connected to the footpath/transport network **Disagree**

Retain all footpaths and bridleways **Strongly agree**

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies?

Provide appropriate levels of parking for visitors and service vehicles **Agree**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? **Agree**

---

### Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Agree**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Agree**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Agree**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Agree**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Disagree**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

### Page 6: Final Comments

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Nothing should be agreed re the Clays Field site until there are more modest and specific proposals from the developer. There is a problem with access to Goring Road. Narrow and steep, with no footpath. A large development would cause a major loss of green space amenity.

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Disagree**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan **Agree**

---

#29

COMPLETE

**Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, December 19, 2018 10:27:10 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 19, 2018 10:50:07 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:22:57  
**IP Address:** 77.97.108.93

---

Page 1: About this survey

**Q1** Which parish do you live in? **Steyping**

---

Page 2: Housing

**Q2** Do you agree with the following aspects of the Housing policies identified for Bramber so far? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q3** Do you agree with our findings that there is an identified housing need for Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q4** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for Clays Field? **Respondent skipped this question**

If you disagree with any aspects of the assessment, please say why and what you think should be changed:  
I am concerned that "village green", "local green space" "open space" "green space" "country park" and similar are being used interchangeably. They are very different things. The first guarantees public open space on the remainder of the site for ever and puts it in public ownership, The second largely protects from building but probably only for the life of the plan and gives no right of access. The others may offer no indefinite right of access nor protection against future development.

---

**Q5** Would you like to see the Clays Field site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? **Respondent skipped this question**

If not, please tell us why you think the site is unsuitable:  
Only if there is a guaranteed benefit to local residents that the rest of the Field will be permanent open space accessible to all and that this access cannot be withdrawn. See comment above about the need to be absolutely clear as to what is on offer for the rest of the site

---

**Q6** If you think the site at Clays Field is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer: **Respondent skipped this question**

---

## Bramber Neighbourhood Plan - emerging policies survey

**Q7** Do you agree with the findings of our assessment so far for the site at Kingsmead Close? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q8** Would you like to see the Kingsmead Close site used to help meet the housing needs of Bramber? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q9** If you think the site at Kingsmead Close is suitable, please give an indication of the scale of development you would prefer Respondent skipped this question

---

### Page 3: Environment and Countryside

**Q10** Do you agree with the Local Green Spaces identified so far? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q11** Are there any additional Green Spaces that have not been considered? (please give details below including why you think they should be protected) Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q12** Do you think that some of the Green Spaces that have been discarded should be reconsidered? (please give details below) Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q13** Do you agree with the Environment Policies identified so far? Respondent skipped this question

---

### Page 4: Transport and Movement

**Q14** Do you agree with the proposed Transport and Movement policies? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q15** Do you agree with the proposed Residential Parking policies? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q16** Do you agree with the proposed Visitor Parking policies? Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q17** Do you support specific new/improved off-road parking proposals at: Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q18** Do you support provision of a light controlled (Pegasus) at-grade crossing of the A283, re-connecting Castle Lane for non-motorised users? Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 5: Heritage, Community, Tourism & Commerce

---

**Q19** Do you agree that we should aim to maximise the church facility for further use within the community? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q20** Do you think that there is a need to improve youth facilities in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q21** Do you agree that all relevant heritage assets are already protected? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q22** Can you suggest any other facilities that we should seek to create or develop in the parish? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q23** Do you feel that an Education centre at St. Mary's would be worthwhile? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q24** Do you think that there is a need for an expansion of hotel and visitor accommodation facilities? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q25** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage tourism? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

**Q26** Are there any other improvements you think could be made to encourage retail/local business? **Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6: Final Comments

**Q27** Please use this space to give us any additional feedback

Clays Field needs the maximum protection we can get. Just registering it as Local Green space against the owners wishes could result in lose/lose. It will be a green space which can't be built on but the landowner has reportedly said that the public will no longer be able to access it except along the public footpath.

Reportedly, the bulk of Clays Field was on offer as a gift to the public as a village green in return for development of part - which could also help meet Bramber's housing need. The potential for a win/win.

The nature of what is proposed for the unbuilt area needs to be tied down with absolute clarity. Anything less than village green status will produce a win for the landowner and is at best doubtful for the wider community

---

**Q28** Before the event I knew all about the process of a Neighbourhood Plan, and of the Bramber one in particular **Did not attend**

---

**Q29** Now I have attended the event I have a better understanding about the process of the Bramber Neighbourhood Plan

**I did not attend**

---