

BRAMBER PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Planning Meeting on Monday, 06 June 2016

in Beeding & Bramber Hall at 6.00.p.m.

Present: Councillors N. Mills (Chairman), R. Potter , J. Goddard , M. Tilley, M. Croker, Mrs D. Goodall Mrs M. Goddard and the clerk. There were 6 members of the public present.

1. Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Councillor N. Stubbs.

2. Declarations of Interest

Councillor Mrs Goodall declared a personal interest in application DC/16/1088 and took no part in that decision.

3. Questions from the Public

One resident asked if there was a TPO on the walnut tree at the front of the site of DC/16/1088 and if not whether it is possible to have one put on. The council did not think that there was currently a TPO on this tree and the clerk said she would forward details of the tree officer at Horsham. **Action** Other residents were concerned that the plans were inaccurate, there were no trees shown on the plan, the long side fence would block light, and the views from the rear of the planned property would be intrusive and cause loss of privacy to a large number of neighbouring properties. In addition, concern was expressed about the access on to Clays Hill.

4. Planning Applications

The following planning applications were then considered:

DC/16/1088 Erection of 1 x new dwelling on Clays Hill

The council were unanimous in wishing to object to this application on the following grounds:

There are major concerns re car access on to Clays Hill and the lack of adequate visibility display.

The bulk of the building is too large for the site particularly as it is on a slope.

The loss of greenery and hedging and particularly of the walnut tree on Clays Hill.

The plan of the N/W of the site is incorrect and needs to be re-drawn.

The loss of privacy to neighbours.

Council is against garden development.

There is concern about access to a main sewer.

The design is out of character with the street scene.

The council also asked for it to be noted that it would wish to be represented if this application went to committee.

DC/16/1081 Crimond, Maudlin Lane Erection of a Car Port at the front of the house

There was a unanimous decision to object to these plans on the following grounds:

With the recent changes to this property this large proposed structure would constitute over development.

It would also set an unwelcome precedent.

DC/16/0652 The Elms, Castle Lane

The council had no objections to these plans but wished to inform Horsham District Council that it did have some concerns about a large structure currently being built in the garden. The council recommends further investigation by the planning officer.

DC/16/1051 3, Clays Hill Erection of summerhouse 2.5m high within 2m of the boundary

The council decided, with 2 abstentions, that the following statement should be sent to HDC:

The council would normally object to these plans as it does not wish to set a precedent for similar development. However, there are extenuating circumstances in this case as this structure will obscure the view of the large structure at 4, Clays Hill to which this council made strong objection.

5. Consultation re Ham Farm

The Council had considered the response from Steyning and agreed that its comments should concentrate on the effects to Bramber. The transport issue would be an important one. There were mixed views on the council on the effect to the views from the South Downs and it was agreed that Councillor J Goddard would formulate the response on behalf of the council after attending the CLC meeting and getting further information.

Action: J.G.

The clerk was asked to re-send the council's objections about the plans at Bramber Brooks to the Planning Inspectorate.

Action: Clerk